

**IN THE COURT OF THE DISTRICT JUDGE, KHURDA  
AT BHUBANESWAR.**

**C.M. Appl. No.377 of 2013.**

1. Superior, Missionaries of Charity,  
Nirmala Shishu Bhawan, represented through  
its Sister-in-charge, Sister Marita M.C.,  
aged about 58 years, At - Plot No.13, Satyanagar,  
P.S. - Kharavelanagar, Bhubaneswar, Dist. - Khurda.
  2. Ravi Kesavan, aged about 54 years,  
S/o. Late Sri Kesavan.
  3. Sheela J, aged about 44 years,  
W/o. Ravi Kesavan.  
Both petitioner Nos.2 & 3 are At : Ravi Bhavanam,  
At/P.O. - Pavithreswaram, P.S. - Ezhukone,  
Dist. - Kollam, Kerala.
- ... **Petitioners.**

Counsel for petitioners : Shri R.K. Sahu & Associates.

Date of Argument : 12.08.2014.

Date of Order : 20.08.2014.

**No.6, Dated 20.08.2014.**

**O R D E R**

This is a joint petition filed by the Legal Guardian-cum-Adoption Placement Agency (Petitioner No.1) and the intending parents (Petitioner Nos.2 & 3) under Section 41(6) of the Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act, 2000 where petitioner No.1 seeks permission to give the minor female child Christy in adoption to petitioner Nos.2 and 3.

2. The minor female child **CHRISTY** born on **25.12.2012** came to the custody of petitioner No.1-Institution, a Philanthropic

Organisation engaged in child welfare activities and giving shelter to the orphan, abandoned and surrendered children as a State recognised Adoption Placement Agency, on 05.01.2013 through her unwed mother. The child was received in the petitioner No.1-Institution as per orders of the District Child Welfare Committee (for short, "CWC"), Khurda. After observing due formalities, the said child was brought up in the petitioner No.1-Institution.

3. Petitioner Nos.2 and 3, who are husband and wife, have no biological children. So, they applied to petitioner No.1-Institution to take the child in adoption and on expression of their willingness, the proposed adoption has been favoured with an affirmative Child Study Report vide Ext.1.

4. On being approached, one Saritha S., Social Worker, Kerala State Council for Child Welfare, Thycaud, Thiruvananthapuram, has conducted a Home Study required for the purpose of adopting a female child. On verifying the Home Study Report vide Ext.2, petitioner No.1 offered to give the minor female child Christy in adoption to petitioner Nos.2 and 3. On going through the Child Study Report, petitioner Nos.2 and 3 accepted the offer and agreed to take the child in adoption. Hence, the petition has been filed praying to allow petitioner No.1-Institution to give the female child, namely, Christy in adoption to petitioner Nos.2 & 3.

5. In course of hearing, one Sister Marita M.C., Sister-in-charge of the petitioner No.1-Institution, has examined herself

reiterating the averments made in the petition. According to her, pursuant to a foster care affidavit dated 30.10.2013, the minor female child, Christy, has been handed over to petitioner Nos.2 & 3 temporarily. Petitioner No.2 has examined himself expressing his consent and desire to adopt the minor female child Christy, as they have no biological children. According to P.W.2, his wife (petitioner No.3) has also given her consent to adopt the female child to perpetuate their line of succession.

6. It has been submitted that for the welfare and improvement of the child, the adoption is essential and the intending parents have social and financial status for the purpose.

7. Now, it is to be seen whether it would be beneficial to institutionalize the child for her well being and better future prospectus. Petitioner No.2 being examined as P.W.2 has expressed his keen desire to adopt the child, as he is issueless. Petitioner No.3 has also given her consent for such adoption. According to P.W.2, girl child Christy has been residing with them since 30.10.2013 and they have renamed her as MEERA S. RAVI. Child Study Report (Ext.1) shows that she was left in the petitioner No.1-Institution by her unwed mother. It is revealed from the Home Study Report (Ext.2) that petitioner Nos.2 & 3 are financially, socially and emotionally stable to support the child physically, emotionally, psychologically and socially. Petitioner Nos.2 & 3 are financially sound, as evident from Ext.7 i.e. income certificate of petitioner No.2. Ext.8 shows that the Odisha State Council for Child Welfare,

Bhubaneswar, affiliated to the Indian Council for Child Welfare, examined the matter and after thorough scrutiny and necessary enquiry, recommended in favour of the proposed adoption in the best interest of the child.

8. On perusal of the documents filed in this case vide Exts.1 to 8, it is found that both petitioner Nos.2 and 3 suffer no disqualification from parenting the child in any way, their health and financial status being good. The adoptive parents have the capacity and right to adopt the minor female child. The petitioner No.1-Institution giving in adoption as the *de facto* guardian has the capacity to do so. The female child Christy is also capable of being adopted under the provisions of the Act. As recommended by the CWC, Khordha under Ext.3 and the Odisha State Council for Child Welfare, Bhubaneswar under Ext.8, the adoption should be in the welfare and interest of the child. It is further revealed from the relevant documents, coupled with the evidence of P.Ws.1 & 2, relating to the social and financial status of the intending parents, their consent and desire to adopt the child and the Home Study Report etc. that petitioner Nos.2 & 3 will provide emotional security and best upbringing to the child. All these establish that the interest of the child's welfare and security would be best served if she is given in adoption to petitioner Nos.2 and 3.

9. In view of above discussion, the application is allowed. The petitioner No.1-Institution is permitted to give the minor female child **CHRISTY** (renamed as **MEERA S. RAVI**) to petitioner Nos.2



6

**District Judge, Khurda**  
**at Bhubaneswar.**  
20.08.2014.

