

IN THE COURT OF JUDGE, FAMILY COURT, BHUBANESWAR.

Present: Shri Pravakar Mishra, OSJS (S.B),
Judge, Family Court, Bhubaneswar.

Civil Proceeding No. 08 of 2013

(Under Section 13 (B) of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955)

Sri Bhubaneswar Sethi, aged about 33 years,
S/o- Late Chandrasekhar Sethi,
At/P.O.-Deuli, P.S.-Begunia,
Dist-Khurda.
At present residing At/P.O.-Bharatpur,
P.S.-Khandagiri,
Dist-Khurda.

..... Petitioner No. 1

AND

Sukanti Routray, aged about 30 years,
W/o-Late Kulamani Routray,
At-Mathasahi, P.O.-Sriramchandrapur,
P.S.-Sakhigopal,
Dist-Puri.

..... Petitioner No. 2

Date of argument : 22.11.2014

Date of judgment : 22.11.2014

J U D G M E N T

The petitioners have filed this application Under Section 13(B) of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 for divorce by mutual consent.

2. The facts of the case of the petitioners are that their marriage was solemnized as per Hindu customs and tradition in Bhuasuni Temple,

Janla in the district of Khurda on 22.06.2009 and out of their wedlock one male child was born on 10.11.2010. Due to differences arose between them which could not be resolved they started living separately since 10.11.2010. Therefore, they have been living separately more than four years. The petition was filed more than one year back i.e. on 05.01.2013. It is further averred that several attempts have been made by the family members, friends and well wishers for their reunion but in vain. According to them, their consent has not been obtained by exercising fear, force, fraud, coercion or undue influence.

3. Both the petitioners were examined on oath as P.Ws. 1 and 2 respectively. They have stated unanimously that their marriage was solemnized on 22.06.2009 according to Hindu rites. Due to indelible temperament, and mental incompatibility and difference of opinion they have been living separately since 10.11.2010. Although their friends and relatives tried their best to reunite them, reconciliation was not possible. A chance of reunion was remote and having no other alternative both of them decided to dissolve the marriage by mutual consent.

4. Petitioner No. 2- stated that she has no claim of any alimony for past, present and future from the petitioner No. 1. In view of above admission by the petitioner No. 2, she is not entitled to get any further alimony from petitioner No.1. They have also mutually agreed that the minor son will reside with petitioner No.2. The petition has been filed more than one year back i.e. on 05.01.2013. Both of them stated on oath that they have been living separately since 10.11.2010 and they have not been able to live together and they have mutually agreed that their marriage should be dissolved. The Court should not stand as a bar to their future life. The petition of the petitioners succeeds. Hence, it is ordered;

ORDER

The petition for divorce by mutual consent is allowed. The decree of divorce by mutual consent is hereby passed and the marriage between

petitioner No. 1 and petitioner No. 2 is declared dissolved with effect from the date of decree and the male child will remain in the custody of petitioner No.2.

JUDGE, FAMILY COURT,
BHUBANESWAR.

Dictated, corrected by me and is pronounced on this the 22nd day of November, 2014.

JUDGE, FAMILY COURT,
BHUBANESWAR.

Witnesses examined for the petitioners:

P.W.1 Bhubaneswari Sethi

P.W.2 Sukanti Routray

List of documents admitted by petitioners:

Nil

JUDGE, FAMILY COURT,
BHUBANESWAR.