

IN THE COURT OF JUDGE, FAMILY COURT, BHUBANESWAR.

Present: Shri Pravakar Mishra, OSJS (S.B),
Judge, Family Court, Bhubaneswar.

Civil Proceeding No. 146 of 2014

(Under Section 13 (B) of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955)

Subrat Kumar Samal, aged about 34 years,
S/o- Dr. Ramesh Chandra Samal,
Qrs. No. 4R-26, Unit-9 Flat,
P.S.-Saheednagar, Bhubaneswar-751022,
Dist-Khurda.

..... Petitioner No. 1

AND

Nupur Agrawal, aged about 25 years,
W/o-Subrat Kumar Samal,
D/o-Gopal Prasad Agrawal,
At/P.O.-Jharamunda, P.S.-Laikera,
Dist-Jharasuguda,
At present Qrs. No. 4R-26, Unit-9 Flat,
P.S.-Saheednagar, Bhubaneswar-751022,
Dist-Khurda.

..... Petitioner No. 2

Date of argument : .2014

Date of judgment: 06.12.2014

J U D G M E N T

The petitioners have filed this application Under Section 28 of Special Marriage Act, 1954 for divorce by mutual consent.

2. The case of the petitioners in brief is that their marriage was solemnized before the Marriage Officer at Bhubaneswar on 04.04.2013 vide marriage certificate No. 338/2013 (Ext. 1) and consummated their

marriage in the house of the petitioner No.1. Due to differences arose between them which could not be resolved and as such they have been living separately since 19.04.2013. Therefore, they have been living separately more than one year. The petition was filed more than six months i.e. on 21.04.2014. It is further averred that several attempts have been made by the family members, friends and well wishers for their reunion but in vain. It is further averred that both the parties have exchanged their gift, presentation and other articles given at the time of their marriage. As chance of reunion was remote they decided to dissolve the marriage by mutual consent.

3. Both the petitioners were examined on oath as P.Ws. 1 and 2 respectively. They have stated unanimously that their marriage was solemnized on 04.04.2013 before the Marriage Officer Khurda at Bhubaneswar vide Marriage Certificate No. 338/2013 (Ext.1). After the marriage, due to indifferent temperament, and mental incompatibility and difference opinion they have been living separately since 19.04.2013. Although their friends and relatives tried their best to reunite them, reconciliation was not possible. The chance of reunion is remote and having no other alternative both of them decided to dissolve the marriage by mutual consent.

4. Petitioner No. 2-wife in her evidence has stated that she has no claim of any alimony for past, present and future. In view of above admission by the petitioner No. 2, she is not entitled to get any alimony from petitioner No.1. The petition was filed on 21.04.2013. The petitioners are in the prime period of their youth. Both of them stated on oath that they have been living separately since 19.04.2013 and they have not been able to live together and they have mutually agreed that their marriage should be dissolved. The Court should not stand bar to their future life. The petition of the petitioners succeeds. Hence, it is ordered;

ORDER

The petition for divorce by mutual consent is allowed. A decree of divorce is passed and the Marriage Certificate bearing No. 338/2013 is treated as cancelled and the marriage between the petitioner No. 1 and petitioner No. 2 is hereby declared dissolved with effect from the date of decree.

JUDGE, FAMILY COURT,
BHUBANESWAR.

Dictated, corrected by me and is pronounced on this the 6th day of December, 2014.

JUDGE, FAMILY COURT,
BHUBANESWAR.

Witnesses examined for the petitioners:

P.W.1 Subrat Kumar Samal

P.W.2 Nupur Agrawal

List of documents admitted by petitioners:

Nil

JUDGE, FAMILY COURT,
BHUBANESWAR.