

IN THE COURT OF JUDGE, FAMILY COURT, BHUBANESWAR.

Present: Shri Pravakar Mishra, OSJS (S.B),
Judge, Family Court, Bhubaneswar.

Civil Proceeding No. 233 of 2014

(Under Section 13 (B) of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955)

Rajeeb Mallick, aged about 28 years,
S/o- Manmohan Mallick,
At-Nirupama Apartment, Block No. A/206,
Behera Sahi, P.S.-Nayapalli,
Bhubaneswar, Dist-Khurda.

..... Petitioner No. 1

AND

Sunita Biswal, aged about 23 years,
W/o-Rajeeb Mallick,
D/o-Basanta Kumar Biswal,
Residing at Plot No. 1306/4458
Sastri Nagar, P.S.-Kharavela Nagar,
Bhubaneswar, Dist-Khurda.

..... Petitioner No. 2

Date of argument : 24.12.2014

Date of judgment : 24.12.2014

J U D G M E N T

The petitioners have filed this application Under Section 13(B) of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 for divorce by mutual consent.

2. The facts of the case of the petitioners are that their marriage was solemnized as per Hindu customs and tradition in Kedargouri Temple on 06.03.2012 and consummated their marriage and they have no issue out of their wedlock. Due to differences arose between them which could not be resolved and they started living separately since 15.05.2012. The petition was presented before this Court on 23.06.2014. Therefore, they

have been living separately more than two years. The petition was filed more than six months back i.e. on 23.06.2014. It is further averred that several attempts have been made by the family members, friends and well wishers for their reunion but in vain. According to them, their consent has not been obtained by exercising fear, force, fraud, coercion or undue influence.

3. Both the petitioners were examined on oath as P.Ws. 1 and 2 respectively. They have stated unanimously that their marriage was solemnized on 06.03.2012 according to Hindu rites. Due to indelible temperament, and mental incompatibility and difference of opinion they have been living separately since 15.05.2012. Although their friends and relatives tried their best to reunite them yet reconciliation was not possible. A chance of reunion was remote and having no other alternative both of them decided to dissolve the marriage by mutual consent.

4. Petitioner No. 2 stated that she has she has no claim of any alimony for past, present and future for herself. In view of above admission by the petitioner No. 2, she is not entitled to get any further alimony from petitioner No.1. The petition has been filed more than six months back i.e. on 23.06.2014. Both of them stated on oath that they have been living separately since 15.05.2012 and they are not able to live together and they have mutually agreed that their marriage should be dissolved. The Court should not stand as a bar to their future life. The petition of the petitioners succeeds. Hence, it is ordered;

ORDER

The petition for divorce by mutual consent is allowed. The decree of divorce by mutual consent is hereby passed and the marriage between petitioner No. 1 and petitioner No. 2 is declared dissolved with effect from the date of decree.

JUDGE, FAMILY COURT,
BHUBANESWAR.

Dictated, corrected by me and is pronounced on this the 24th day of December, 2014.

JUDGE, FAMILY COURT,
BHUBANESWAR.

Witnesses examined for the petitioners:

P.W.1 Rajeeb Mallick

P.W.2 Sunita Biswal

List of documents admitted by petitioners:

Nil

JUDGE, FAMILY COURT,
BHUBANESWAR.