

IN THE COURT OF JUDGE, FAMILY COURT, BHUBANESWAR.

Present: Shri Pravakar Mishra, OSJS (S.B),  
Judge, Family Court, Bhubaneswar.

Civil Proceeding No. 99 of 2014

(Under Section 13 (B) of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955)

Chinmaya Ranjan Atta, aged about 28 years,  
S/o- Purusottam Atta,  
At/P.O.-Sagarguon, P.S.-Bolagarh,  
Dist-Khurda  
At present residing at Mamudcanta, Pathancot,  
State-Punjab.

..... Petitioner No. 1

AND

Suprava Sahoo, aged about 27 years,  
W/o- Chinmaya Ranjan Atta,  
D/o-Bhabani Sankar Sahoo,  
Vill.- Sagarguon, P.S.-Bolagarh,  
Dist-Khurda  
At present residing at College of Nursing Hostel,  
Maharaja Krushna Chandra Gajapati,  
Medical College & Nursing Hospital,  
Berhampur, Dist-Ganjam

..... Petitioner No. 2

Date of argument : .2014

Date of judgment: 06.12.2014

J U D G M E N T

The petitioners have filed this application Under Section 28 of Special Marriage Act, 1954 for divorce by mutual consent.

2. The case of the petitioners in brief is that their marriage was solemnized before the Marriage Officer at Bhubaneswar on 14.02.2011

vide marriage certificate No. 114/2011 (Ext. 1). After marriage, they did not live together as husband and wife for a single day though they are officially husband and wife. Due to differences arose between them which could not be resolved and as such they have been living separately since 14.02.2011. Therefore, they have been living separately more than three years. The petition was filed more than six months i.e. on 19.03.2014. It is further averred that several attempts have been made by the family members, friends and well wishers for their reunion but in vain. As chance of reunion was remote they decided to dissolve the marriage by mutual consent.

3. Both the petitioners were examined in oath as P.Ws. 1 and 2 respectively. They have stated unanimously that their marriage was solemnized on 14.02.2011 before the Marriage Officer Khurda at Bhubaneswar vide Marriage Certificate No. 114/2011 (Ext.1). After the marriage, due to indifferent temperament, and mental incompatibility and difference opinion they have been living separately since 14.02.2011. Although their friends and relatives tried their best to reunite them, reconciliation was not possible. The chance of reunion is remote and having no other alternative both of them decided to dissolve the marriage by mutual consent.

4. Petitioner No. 2-wife in her evidence has stated that she has no claim of any alimony for past, present and future. In view of above admission by the petitioner No. 2, she is not entitled to get any alimony from petitioner No.1. The petition was filed on 19.03.2014. The petitioners are in the prime period of their youth. Both of them stated on oath that they have been living separately since 14.02.2011 and they have not been able to live together and they have mutually agreed that their marriage should be dissolved. The Court should not stand bar to their future life. The petition of the petitioners succeeds. Hence, it is ordered;

ORDER

The petition for divorce by mutual consent is allowed. A decree of divorce is passed and the Marriage Certificate bearing No. 114/2011 is treated as cancelled and the marriage between the petitioner No. 1 and petitioner No. 2 is hereby declared dissolved with effect from the date of decree.

JUDGE, FAMILY COURT,  
BHUBANESWAR.

Dictated, corrected by me and is pronounced on this the 6<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2014.

JUDGE, FAMILY COURT,  
BHUBANESWAR.

Witnesses examined for the petitioners:

P.W.1 Chinmaya Ranjan Atta

P.W.2 Suprava Sahoo

List of documents admitted by petitioners:

Ext. 1 Marriage Certificate bearing No. 114 of 2011.

JUDGE, FAMILY COURT,  
BHUBANESWAR.