IN THE COURT OF JUDGE, FAMILY COURT, BHUBANESWAR.

Present: Shri Pravakar Mishra, OSJS (S.B), Judge, Family Court, Bhubaneswar.

Civil Proceeding No. 616 of 2014

(Under Section 13 (B) of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955)

Suman Datta, aged about 45 years, S/o- Late Subir Datta At-Cosmo Polis, Flat No.-B-74, 7th Floor, Dumduma, P.O- Khandagiri, Bhubaneswar, Dist-Khurda.

..... Petitioner No. 1

AND

Manavita Choudhury, aged about 28 years, W/o-Suman Datta, D/o-Smt. Maya Choudhury, At-2/96, Tanowar Colony opposite Indira Maidan, Dumdum Road, Dumdum, Calcutta-700074.

..... Petitioner No. 2

Date of Second Motion : 23.06.2015

Date of Judgment : 23.06.2015

JUDGMENT

The petitioners have filed this application Under Section 13(B) of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 for divorce by mutual consent.

2. The facts of the case of the petitioners are that their marriage was solemnized as per Hindu customs and tradition on 29.11.2012. Due to differences arose between them which could not be resolved they started living separately since 13.12.2012. The petition was presented before this Court on 23.12.2014. Therefore, they have been living separately more than one year. It is also averred that both the parties have exchanged their gifts and other articles given at the time of marriage. It is further averred that several attempts have been made by the family members, friends and well wishers for their reunion but all were in vain. According to them, their consent has not been obtained by exercising fear, force, fraud, coercion or undue

influence.

- 3. Both the petitioners were examined on oath as P.Ws. 1 and 2 respectively. They have stated unanimously that their marriage was solemnized according to Hindu rites on 29.11.2012. Due to indelible temperament, and mental incompatibility and difference of opinion they have been living separately since 13.12.2012. Although their friends and relatives tried their best to reunite them yet reconciliation was not possible. A chance of reunion was remote and having no other alternative both of them decided to dissolve the marriage by mutual consent.
- 4. Petitioner No. 2 stated that she has no other claim of any alimony for past, present and future for herself. In view of above admission by the petitioner No. 2, she is not entitled to get any further alimony from petitioner No.1. Both of them stated on oath that they have been living separately since 13.12.2012 and they are not able to live together and they have mutually agreed that their marriage should be dissolved. The Court should not stand as a bar to their future life. The petition of the petitioners succeeds. Hence, it is ordered;

ORDER

The petition for divorce by mutual consent is allowed. The decree of divorce by mutual consent is hereby passed and the marriage between petitioner No. 1 and petitioner No. 2 is declared dissolved with effect from the date of decree.

JUDGE, FAMILY COURT, BHUBANESWAR.

Dictated, corrected by me and is pronounced on this the $23^{\rm rd}$ day of June, 2015.

JUDGE, FAMILY COURT, BHUBANESWAR.

Witnesses examined for the petitioners:

P.W.1 Suman Datta

P.W.2 Manavita Choudhury

<u>List of documents admitted by petitioners:</u>

N_i1

JUDGE, FAMILY COURT, BHUBANESWAR.