

**IN THE COURT OF THE SPECIAL JUDGE, C.B.I., COURT NO.III,
BHUBANESWAR.**

**PRESENT : Sri B.N. Das,
Special Judge, C.B.I.,
Court No.III, Bhubaneswar.**

T.R. No. 33/14 of 2013/2009
(Arising out of R.C. 21(A)/ 2008)

Date of argument : 19.6.2014

Date of Judgment : 28.6.2014

REPUBLIC OF INDIA

Versus.....

Pulin Kumar Das, aged about 60 years,
Son of Late Girindra Nath Das,
Vill. Govindpur, P.O. Bamara,P.S. Govindpur,
Dist. Sambalpur, Orissa.

... ... Accused

For the Prosecution : Sri K. C.Mishra,Sr. P.P.,CBI,
Sri Ashish Jaiswal, P.P.CBI.

For the Accused : Shri G. Acharya & Associates,
Advocates.

J U D G M E N T

The accused stands charged under Sec.409 of Indian Penal Code and Under Sec.13(2) read with Sec.13(1)(c) and Sec.13(1)(d) of Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 for committing criminal breach of trust in respect of amount of Rs.57,000/- and obtaining himself pecuniary advantage to the tune of Rs. 57,000/- by corrupt and illegal means abusing his official position.

2. The case of the prosecution in brief is that the accused was working as Sub-Post Master, Garposh Sub Post Office under Jharsuguda Head Post Office during the period from 2006 to 2008. During the relevant period, he misappropriated an amount of Rs.57,000/- from different SB and R.D. accounts of account holders without accounting for the same in postal records. Even if he had received the R.D. deposits and savings bank deposits from the account holders, he did not reflect the same in post office records, for which, FIR was lodged before the S.P. CBI, Bhubaneswar by Superintendent of Post Office, Sambalpur Division on 2.9.2008. Basing on the report, CBI Case No. RC 21 (A) of 2008 was registered under Sec. 409 IPC and Under Sec. 13(2) read with Sec.13(1)(c) and 13(1)(d) of Prevention of Corruption Act and investigation was taken up. During course of investigation, it came to light that accused had fraudulently withdrawn Rs.20,000/- from the account of one Tribikram Meher and did not enter the same in the postal records. Similarly, he had also received Rs.30,000/- in two different occasions from Tribikram Meher and Rs.7000/- from Leela Agrawal which was not accounted for in the postal records such as ledgers and SB journals. He had also withdrawn Rs.20,000/- fraudulently from the account of Tribikram Meher and as such, he had misappropriated total sum of Rs.57,000/- from the above two SB accounts. On completion of investigation, charge sheet was submitted against the accused under Sec. 409 IPC and Under Sec. 13(2) read with Sec.13(1)(c) and 13(1)(d) of Prevention of Corruption Act after obtaining sanction of prosecution from Director, Postal Services, Sambalpur. Hence the case.

3. Defence plea is one of complete denial of the complicity of the accused in the alleged crime. Further, the accused has taken the stand that in connivance of other postal staffs falsely this case has been foisted.

4. Points fall for determination are :

- (i) Whether the accused Pulin Kumar Das while was working as Sub-Post Master of Garposh Sub-Post Office, during the period from 2006-2008 being a public servant was entrusted with a sum of Rs.57,000/- and committed criminal breach of trust in respect of the said property?
- (ii) Whether the accused during the above period being a public servant in the employment of the aforesaid post office by corrupt and illegal means or otherwise abusing his official position as such public servant obtained pecuniary advantage to the tune of Rs.57,000/- which was received by him from the account holders?
- (iii) Whether the accused being a public servant has fraudulently misappropriated an amount of Rs.57,000/- or otherwise converted the said property for his own use entrusted to him or under his control as a public servant ?

5. In order to establish the charges against the accused, altogether ten witnesses have been examined, out of whom P.W.5 is the informant, P.Ws.1 and 8 are account holders, P.W.2 is the Ex-Sub Postmaster, Garposh Sub-Post Office, P.W.3 is the Postal Assistant of Jharsuguda Head Post Office and P.W.4 is the Postal Assistant of Bamara Sub-Post Office, P.W.6 is the Inspector of Post offices, P.W.7 is the Superintendent of Post Offices, Sambalpur Division, P.W.9 is the Superintendent, RMS, K-Division, Jharsuguda and P.W.10 is the Investigating Officer. Only one witnesses namely Raj Kumar Thakur has been examined as D.W.1.

6. P.W.5 is the informant who has lodged a written complaint before the CBI vide Ext.9. On perusal of the said

written complaint, it is seen that the accused Pulin Kumar Das was working as Sub-Post Master of Garposh Sub-Post Office under Jharsuguda Head Post Office for the period from 2006-2008 and during the said period he has misappropriated an amount of Rs.92,010/-in total from different accounts. From the account of Tribikram Meher (P.W.8) a sum of Rs.50,000/- has been misappropriated whereas from the account of Leela Agrawal (P.W.1) a sum of Rs.7000/- has been misappropriated. Basing on the FIR vide Ext.9, SP, CBI registered a case and directed for investigation. It is revealed from the evidence of P.W.5 that one Narayan Chandra Behera (P.W.9) who was working as a Superintendent of RMS K-Division, Jharsuguda submitted preliminary report to PMG, Sambalpur on 1.1.2008 vide Ext.10. From the evidence of P.Ws.2,3,4, 5 and 10 it is clearly revealed that during the relevant period, the accused was working as Sub-Postmaster of Garposh Sub-Post Office.

7. P.W.1 in her evidence has disclosed that she had opened one savings account in Garposh sub-Post Office vide Account No.1363824 and on 5.10.2006 she had deposited Rs.7000/- before the accused-sub-postmaster who had made entries in the pass book after accepting money vide pass book Ext.1 along with endorsement vide Ext.1/1. She has proved the deposit slip vide Ext.2. But subsequently, she came to know on 21.12.2007 that a sum of Rs.7000/- has not been deposited by the accused which is alleged to have been misappropriated.

8. Similarly, P.W.8 has disclosed that he had opened one SB account in Garposh Sub-Post Office in the year, 2006 vide his pass book Ext.12 with deposit of Rs.10200/-. Subsequently, he deposited Rs.20,000/- on 19.10.2006 and

Rs.20,000/- on 17.11.2006 amounting to Rs.50,200/- in total. According to him, the accused made entries in the pass book with seal and signature. He has never withdrawn any amount from his pass book. He has also denied his withdrawal of Rs.20,000/- as shown in Ext.16. From the evidence of both P.Ws.1 and 8 it is clearly revealed that Rs.57,000/- have been entrusted on the accused being Sub-Postmaster of Garposh Sub-Post office during relevant period.

9. The report submitted by P.W.9 vide Ext.10 goes to reveal that P.W.9 paid a surprise visit to Garposh Sub-Post Office on 20.11.2007 and while verifying the record, he found that in respect of Account No.1363787 stands in the name of one Tribikram Meher(P.W.8). The amount of initial deposit has been entered in the pass book duly authenticated under the date and signature of accused Pulin Kumar Das without date stamp and impression. On verification of ledger card dtd.19.7.2006, it is revealed that only Rs.200/- has been accounted for in SO account, whereas the pass book was issued for Rs.10,200/- on 19.7.2006. The Pay-in-slip revealed that only amount of Rs.200/-has been reflected. Similarly, further deposit of Rs.20,000/- entered in the pass book on 17.11.2006 duly authenticated under the date and signature of accused by impression of postal stamp and said amount has not been accounted for in other postal records. The withdrawal of Rs.20,000/- though accounted for on 24.11.2006, the same has not been entered in the pass book. The pass book balance after deposit reveals Rs.50,200/-, but the balance after transaction has been shown only Rs.200/-. From the evidence of P.W.8 Tribikram Mehera, it is also clearly revealed that he has not withdrawn any amount from his account. As such the

amount of Rs.50,000/- has been misappropriated from the above account. It is further revealed from evidence that from the evidence of P.W.9 that from the account of Leela Agrawal P.W.1 vide Account No.1363824 a deposit of Rs.7000/- has been entered in the pass book on 5.10.2006 duly authenticated under the date and signature and stamp impression of Garposh Post Office. Same has not been accounted for in any of the postal records. In his statement, the accused has admitted to have authenticated the deposit of Rs.7000/-. But subsequently even if the balance was Rs.7050/- after transaction on 5.10.2006 concerned Head Office ledger shows balance of Rs.50/- after transaction dtd.27.9.2006. Thus, from this account, a sum of Rs.7000/- has been misappropriated.

10. The accused in his statement proved on behalf of the prosecution vide Ext.31 has admitted the fact of deposit of Rs.7000/- on 5.10.2006 and he has put his signature and stamp in the pass book. Further he has admitted that he has not entered the said amount in the long book and also in the ledger and kept the pass book with him along with pay-in-slip. Similarly, he has also admitted the fact of receiving Rs.10,200/- from the depositor Tibikram Meher (P.W.8) but accounted for only Rs.200/- on 19.7.2006. Similarly, he has also received Rs.20,000/- from P.W.8 on 19.10.2006 and Rs.20,000/- on 17.11.2006 along with the pay in-slip which he had entered in the pass book bearing No.1363787 (Ext.12). He has admitted to have put his signature and date stamp impression of Garposh Sub-Post Office. He has also stated to have not reflected the withdrawal of Rs.20,000/- in the pass book. He has also not deposited Rs.20,000/- on 17.11.2006 as reflected in the pass book. As such it is clearly established that the

accused even if has made entries in the concerned pass books about the deposits, he has not accounted for the same in the registers and records and as such the amount has been misappropriated.

11. From the evidence of P.Ws.2,3 and 4, it is clearly revealed that accused Pulin Kumar Das has deposited different amounts on different dates. From the evidence of P.W.2 it is revealed that on 21.11.2007 the accused had deposited Rs.26,000/- in Garposh Sub-Post Office as per receipt vide Ext.3. Similarly, P.W.3 has disclosed that accused had deposited Rs.30,000/- which he had received on 27.1.2009 vide Ext.4 and it is ascertained by this witness that towards the misappropriation of the amount, the accused has deposited the above amount.

12. From the evidence of P.W.4, it is revealed that he was in-charge of Bamara Sub-Post office and accused had deposited Rs.20,000/- in UCR account vide unclassified receipt Ext.6 and 7, payment have been made by the accused for Rs.20,000/-on 6.12.2007 and Rs.22,000/-on 7.12.2007.

13. From the evidence of P.W.6, it is revealed that daily transaction report dtd.19.10.2006 has been seized vide Ext.18 in which the accused has put his signature vide Ext.18/1. Similarly, the daily transaction report vide Ext.19 dtd.17.11.2006 has been seized and both the documents have been prepared by the accused. The true copy of the SB ledger card in respect of SB Account No.1363787 vide Ext.22 and ledger copy in respect of above SB account number of Garposh Sub-Post Office vide Ext.23 have been seized. In both the documents, withdrawal of Rs.20,000/- has been reflected as on 24.11.2006 leaving a balance of Rs.200/-. The daily list of transaction list

dtd.5.10.2006 of Garposh Sub-Post Office vide Ext.32 has been seized with signature of accused vide Ext.32/1 in respect of SB account No.1363824 in the name of Leela Agrawal. On perusal of said document, it is seen that deposited amount of Rs.7000/- has not been reflected by the accused in the above account.

14. The I.O. P.W.10 has disclosed that on being directed by S.P. CBI on the complaint of Superintendent, Post Offices regarding misappropriation of postal amount by accused, he took up investigation and seized documents vide seizure memo Ext.11 and Ext.62. After completion of investigation and after obtaining sanction of prosecution from competent authority vide Ext.65 he submitted charge sheet against the accused. Nothing has been brought out substantial in the cross-examination to discredit his testimony.

15. Only one witness namely Raj Kumar Thakur has been examined by the defence who is account holder of Garposh Sub-Post Office. He has disclosed that one Koushal Bhangra was making necessary entries in the pass book during the tenure of accused Pulin Kumar Das and he is well acquainted with his signature and handwriting. Further, he has disclosed that one Biswanath Jena, Inspector has collected pass books from the account holders and advised the account holders not to admit the signature of Koushal Bhangra. Such evidence of above witness cannot be relied upon in view of his admission in cross-examination that he cannot produce any document regarding engagement of said Koushal Bhangra in the post office and he does not have any knowledge about postal rules and regulations. Similarly, he has also disclosed that during his absence, he cannot say who was dealing the

postal work in the post office and who are depositing and withdrawing the amount.

16. During course of argument, learned counsel for the defence submitted before the court that the FIR as well as sanction order are defective, for which, entire prosecution case fails. Further, he argued that charge has not been framed giving details of amount misappropriated. On the other hand, learned P.P. CBI submitted that the FIR as well as sanction order are in proper procedure and there is no scope to disbelieve the same. Further, it is submitted that the charge has been framed properly and there is no scope to interfere in it. On perusal of FIR, it is seen that details of amount of misappropriation has been reflected with specific accounts number for which there is no scope to disbelieve the same. As such the submission of learned defence counsel holds no good.

17. On perusal of evidence of prosecution evidence witnesses supported by documentary evidence, it is clearly established that accused Pulin Kumar Das was working as Sub-Post Master at Garposh Sub-Post Office for the period from 2006 to 2008 and during his tenure he was entrusted with the work of Sub-Postmaster and he has made all the entries in the pass book and in the relevant registers. As regards deposit of Rs.7000/- by P.W.1, the same has not been accounted for in the ledger, daily transaction register and other relevant documents except entry in the pass book. Similarly in respect of deposit of Rs.50,200/- by P.W.8, same has not been accounted for by the accused in the daily transaction register, long book as well as other relevant records and registers. Even if withdrawal from his account has been shown, same has not

been reflected either in the concerned pass book and in other relevant registers.

18. From the statement of accused recorded in his own hand proved by the prosecution regarding such misappropriation, it is clearly established that he has admitted fault for which subsequently he has deposited the amounts through unclassified receipts and during enquiry same has been reflected in the enquiry report. From the evidence of prosecution witnesses, it is clearly established that the accused being a public servant has fraudulently misappropriated an amount of Rs.57,000/- from the account of P.Ws.1 and 8 and abusing his official position by corrupt and illegal means obtained pecuniary advantage of said amount for himself.

Hence, I come to the conclusion that the prosecution has well established the charges against the accused under Sec. 409 IPC and Under Sec. 13(2) read with Sec.13(1)(c) and 13(1)(d) of Prevention of Corruption Act beyond all reasonable doubt. Accordingly, the accused is held guilty of the charges Under Sec. 409 I.P.C. and Under Sec. 13(2) read with Sec.13(1)(c) and 13(1)(d) of Prevention of Corruption Act and he is convicted there under.

Sd/Sri B.N.Das.

SPECIAL JUDGE,CBI, COURT NO.III,
BHUBANESWAR.

Dictated & corrected by me and pronounced in the open Court today on 28th June, 2014 under my seal and signature.

Sd/Sri B.N.Das

SPECIAL JUDGE, C.B.I., COURT NO.III,
BHUBANESWAR.

HEARING ON THE QUESTION OF SENTENCE:

Heard the convict on the question of sentence. It is submitted by the learned defence counsel that the accused is old man and ailing and also suffering from various ailments, for which, a lenient view may be taken in awarding sentence. On the other hand learned P.P., CBI submitted that the convict deserves exemplary punishment for committing such offence.

Considering the gravity of the offence as well as submission of both the counsels, I am of the considered opinion that a lenient view be taken in respect of the convict. Consequently, the convict is sentenced to undergo rigorous imprisonment for Two years and to pay a fine of Rs.5000/- (Rupees Five Thousand) in default to suffer rigorous imprisonment for three months for the offence under Sec.409 I.P.C. and to undergo rigorous imprisonment for Two years and to pay a fine of Rs.2000/- (Rupees Two Thousand) and in default to undergo R.I. for two months for the offence under Section 13(2) read with Sec. 13(1)(c) and Under Sec. 13(1)(d) of Prevention of Corruption Act with a direction that the sentences shall run concurrently. The period undergone as UTP if any be set off.

The seized documents be returned to the person from whom seized and the zimanama if any, be cancelled after four months of appeal period, if no appeal is preferred and in the event of appeal subject to the order of appellate court.

Sd/Sri B.N.Das

SPECIAL JUDGE,C.B.I.,COURT NO.III,
BHUBANESWAR.

Dictated & corrected by me and is pronounced in the open court today i.e. on 28th June, 2014.

Sd/-Sri B.N.Das

SPECIAL JUDGE,C.B.I.,COURT NO.III,
BHUBANESWAR.

LIST OF WITNESSES EXAMINED FOR THE PROSECUTION:

P.W.1 Leela Agrawal.
P.W.2 Pabitra Kumar Patel.
P.W.3 Santosh Kumar Panda.
P.W.4 Binay Kumar Baxla.
P.W.5 Banchhanidhi Mishra.
P.W.6 Biswanath Jena.
P.W.7 Bansidhar Pradhan.
P.W.8 Tribikram Meher
P.W.9 Narayan Chandra Behera.
P.W.10 Manoj Kumar Dash

LIST OF WITNESSES EXAMINED FOR THE DEFENCE:

D.W.1 Rajkumar Thakur.

LIST OF DOCUMENTS ADMITTED BY THE PROSECUTION :

Ext.1 SB Account pass book
Ext.1/1 Entries along with endorsement.
Ext.2 Deposit slip.
Ext.2/1 Signature of husband of P.W.1. in Ext.2.
Ext.3 Receipt.
Ext.3/1 Signature of Pulin Kumar Das.
Ext.4 Receipt.
Ext.5 Daily report.
Ext.5/1 Signature of Manoj Kumar Das.
Ext.5/2 Signature of Bansidhar Pradhan.
Ext.6 Unclassified receipt showing payment by accused dtd.6.12.2007 of Rs.20,000/-.
Ext.7 Unclassified receipt showing payment of Rs.22,000/- by the accused on 7.12.2007.
Ext.8 Postal pass book No.1363764.
Ext.9 Written complaint.
Ext.9/1 Signature of P.W.5 on Ext.9.
Ext.10 Letter No.F/4-2/07-08 dtd.1.1.2008 of the Suptd. Post Office, Sambalpur.
Ext.10/1 Signature of Narayan Ch. Behera on Ext.10.
Ext.11 Seizure list.
Ext.11/1 Signatures of P.W.6 on Ext.11.

- Ext.11/2 Signature of P.W.6 on Ext.11.
 Ext.11/3 Signature of M.K. Das, Inspector on Ext.11.
 Ext.12 Pass book of Tribikram Meher.
 Ext.13 Written statement of Tribikram Meher dtd.20.11.2007.
 Ext.13/1 Signature of Tribikram Meher on Ext.13.
 Ext.14 Written statement of Pulin Kumar Das.
 Ext.14/1 Signature of Pulin Kumar Das on Ext.14.
 Ext.15 Deposit slip of SB A/C.No.1363787.
 Ext.15/1 Signature of Tribikram Meher on Ext.15.
 Ext.16 Payment voucher of Tribikram Meher.
 Ext.17 Deposit slip in respect of A/C.No.1363787.
 Ext.18 Daily transaction report of Garposh Sub-Post Office.
 Ext.18/1 Signature of Pulin Kumar Das on Ext.18.
 Ext.19 Daily transaction report dtd.17.11.2006 of Garposh Sub-Post Office.
 Ext.19/1 Signature of Pulin Kumar Das.
 Ext.20 Daily transaction report dtd.19.7.2006 of Garposh Sub-Post Office.
 Ext.21 List of transaction report dtd.19.7.06 of Garposh Sub-Post Office.
 Ext.21/1 Signature of Pulin Ku. Das on Ext.21.
 Ext.22 True copy of SB ledger card of SB A/C.No.1363787.
 Ext.23 Ledger copy of SB A/C.1363787.
 Ext.23/1 Signature of Sub-Postmaster, Jharsuguda on Ext.23.
 Ext.24 Post pass Book No.1362487.
 Ext.25 Written statement of Mansid Jataram.
 Ext.26 Written statement of Pulin Kumar Das.
 Ext.26/1 Signature of Pulin Ku. Das on Ext.26.
 Ext.27 S.B List of transaction dtd.15.10.07 of Garposh Sub-Post Office.
 Ext.28 Pay-in-slip in the name of Mansid Jataram.
 Ext.29 Ledger copy of Account No.1362487.
 Ext.29/1 Signature of Pulin Kumar Das.
 Ext.30 Ledger copy of SB a/c.No.1362487.
 Ext.30/1 Signature of Postmaster Jharsuguda Head Post Office.
 Ext.31 Written statement of Pulin Kumar Das.
 Ext.31/1 Signature of Pulin Ku. Das on Ext.31.
 Ext.32 Daily list of transaction dtd.5.10.2006.
 Ext.32/1 Signature of Pulin Ku. Das on Ext.32.
 Ext.33 True ledger copy of SB account No.1363824 in the name of Leela Agrawal.
 Ext.34 Ledger copy of SB a/c/1363824.

- Ext.34/1 Signature of postmaster Jharsuguda Head Post Office on Ext.34.
- Ext.35 Pass book of Umesh Ch.Patel & Subasini Patel.
- Ext.36 Written statement of Umesh Ch.Patel.
- Ext.36/1 Signature of P.W.6 on Ext.36.
- Ext.37 Written statement of Pulin Ku. Das dtd.19.12.2007.
- Ext.37/1 Signature of P.W.6 on Ext.37.
- Ext.37/2 Signature of Pulin Ku. Das on Ext.37.
- Ext.38 Ledger copy of A/C.No.1363324.
- Ext.39 Ledger copy of Jharuguda Head Post Office A/C.No.1363324.
- Ext.39/1 Signature of Post Master,Jharsuguda on Ext.39.
- Ext.40 Original preliminary report of Pulin Kumar Das.
- Ext.40/1 Signature of P.W.6 on Ext.40.
- Ext.41 Written statement of Pulin Ku.Das dtd.31.1.2008.
- Ext.41/1 Signature of Pulin Ku.Das on Ext.41.
- Ext.42 Written statement of Priti Agarwal dtd.12.1.1997.
- Ext.42/1 Signature of P.W.6 on Ext.42.
- Ext.43 System generated ledger copy of SB A/C.1363764.
- Ext.43/1 Signature of Postmaster,Jharsuguda on Ext.43.
- Ext.44 Ledger copy of SB A/C.1363764.
- Ext.44/1 Signature of Postmaster Garposh Sub-Post Office on Ext.44.
- Ext.45 Written statement of Priti Agrawal.
- Ext.45/1 Signature of P.W.6 on Ext.45.
- Ext.45/2 Signature of Sub-Post Master,Garposh Sub-Post Office.
- Ext.46 Statement of Pulin Ku.Das dtd.21.1.07.
- Ext.46/1 Signature of Pulin Kumar Das on Ext.46.
- Ext.47 List of transaction dtd.13.4.07 of Garposh Sub-Post Office.
- Ext.47/1 Signature of Pulin Ku.Das on Ext.47.
- Ext.48 Statement of Daily account dtd.17.11.2006.
- Ext.48/1 Signature of Pulin Ku.Das on Ext.48.
- Ext.49 Daily account dtd.24.11.06 of Garposh Sub-Post Office.
- Ext.49/1 Signature of Pulin Ku.Das on Ext.49.
- Ext.50 Daily Account of Garposh Sub-Post Office dtd.19.10.06.
- Ext.50/1 Signature of Pulin Ku.Das on Ext.50.
- Ext.51 Daily Account dtd.19.10.06 of Garposh Sub-Post Office.
- Ext.51/1 Signature of Pulin Ku.Das on Ext.51.
- Ext.52 Daily Account of Garposh Sub-Post Office dtd.15.10.07.
- Ext.52/1 Signature of Pulin Ku.Das on Ext.52.

- Ext.53 Daily transaction account dtd.5.10.2006.
 Ext.53/1 Signature of Pulin Ku.Das on Ext.53.
 Ext.54 Daily account of Garposh Post Office dtd.13.9.2007.
 Ext.54/1 Signature of Pulin Ku.Das on Ext.54.
 Ext.55 Daily account of Garposh Post office dtd.19.7.2006.
 Ext.55/1 Signature of Pulin Ku.Das on Ext.55.
 Ext.56 Daily account book from 30.6.03 to 30.12.05 of Garposh Sub- Post Office.
 Ext.57 Account book in Garposh Sub-Post Office from 30.12.05 to 21.11.07.
 Ext.58 R.D.long book from 21.5.07 to 11.12.07 of Garposh Sub-Post Office.
 Ext.59 Savings Bank long book from 23.10.03 to 11.12.06 of Garposh Sub-Post Office.
 Ext.60 Long Book from 11.12.06 to 11.12.07 of Garposh Sub-Post Office.
 Ext.61 Formal FIR drawn by D.K. Thakur.
 Ext.61/1 Endorsement and signature of D.K. Thakur.
 Ext.62 Seizure list.
 Ext.62/1 Signature of P.W.10 in Ext.62.
 Ext.63 Letter dtd.25.2.2009 of Suptd.of Post Office,Sambalpur Division.
 Ext.63/1 to 63/3 Enclosures of letter dtd.25.2.2009.
 Ext.64 Schedule of Unclassified receipt of Jharsuguda Head Post Office.
 Ext.65 Sanction order.

LIST OF DOCUMENTS ADMITTED BY THE DEFENCE :

Ext.A SB. Pass Book of Sunita Thakur.

LIST OF M.Os. MARKED BY THE PROSECUTION:

Nil.

LIST OF M.Os. MARKED FOR THE DEFENCE:

Nil.

Sd/-Sri B.N.Das
 SPECIAL JUDGE,C.B.I.,COURT NO.III,
 BHUBANESWAR.

